

Evaluation form

Paper submitted to Neofilolog No.

Title:

Reviewer:

1. Does the title reflect the content of the paper?:

.....

2. Evaluation of the structure of the paper (does it have a clearly defined aim, does it describe in detail the research procedures, does it include appropriate hypotheses and conclusions?): ...

.....

.....

3. Evaluation of content (including the quality of the abstract):

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

4. Is the approach new and, if so, to what extent?:

.....

.....

.....

5. Evaluation of the literature used:

.....

.....

6. Decision (1 – it should be published; 2 – it should be published with changes suggested; 3 – it should be rewritten and resubmitted; 4 – it should be rejected):

.....

7. Comments:

.....
.....

Date

Signature